

The Epstein Redactions
by Leto Xavier
© 2026

The Epstein Files Transparency Act

The Files, Co-Conspirators, and the Client List

Congress's Reaction to the DOJ's Handling of the Epstein Files

Illegal Redactions & Other Crimes:

2009; 2010; 2011; 2012; 2013; 2014; 2015; 2016; 2019; 2020; 2022; 2025; Undated

The Epstein Files Transparency Act (H.R.4405)

An Act to require the Attorney General to release all documents and records in possession of the Department of Justice relating to Jeffrey Epstein, and for other purposes.

Nov. 19, 2025

[H.R. 4405]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Epstein Files Transparency Act”.

Sect 2

(a) In General.—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall, subject to subsection

(b), make publicly available in a searchable and downloadable format all unclassified records, documents, communications, and investigative materials in the possession of the Department of Justice, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation and United States Attorneys’ Offices, that relate to:

(1) Jeffrey Epstein including all investigations, prosecutions, or custodial matters.

(2) Ghislaine Maxwell.

(3) Flight logs or travel records, including but not limited to manifests, itineraries, pilot records, and customs or immigration documentation, for any aircraft, vessel, or vehicle owned, operated, or used by Jeffrey Epstein or any related entity.

(4) Individuals, including government officials, named or referenced in connection with Epstein’s criminal activities, civil settlements, immunity or plea agreements, or investigatory proceedings.

(5) Entities (corporate, nonprofit, academic, or governmental) with known or alleged ties to Epstein’s trafficking or financial networks.

(6) Any immunity deals, non-prosecution agreements, plea bargains, or sealed settlements involving Epstein or his associates.

(7) Internal DOJ communications, including emails, memos, meeting notes, concerning decisions to charge, not charge, investigate, or decline to investigate Epstein or his associates.

(8) All communications, memoranda, directives, logs, or metadata concerning the destruction, deletion, alteration, misplacement, or concealment of documents, recordings, or electronic data related to Epstein, his associates, his detention and death, or any investigative files.

(b) Prohibited Grounds for Withholding.—

(1) No record shall be withheld, delayed, or redacted on the basis of embarrassment, reputational harm, or political sensitivity, including to any government official, public figure, or foreign dignitary.

(c) Permitted Withholdings.—

(1) The Attorney general may withhold or redact the segregable portions of records that—

(A) contain personally identifiable information of victims or victims' personal and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;

(B) depict or contain child sexual abuse materials (CSAM) as defined under 18 U.S.C. 2256 and prohibited under 18 U.S.C. 2252–2252A;

(C) would jeopardize an active federal investigation or ongoing prosecution, provided that such withholding is narrowly tailored and temporary;

(D) depict or contain images of death, physical abuse, or injury of any person; or (E) contain information specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and are in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order.

(2) All redactions must be accompanied by a written justification published in the Federal Register and submitted to Congress.

(3) To the extent that any covered information would otherwise be redacted or withheld as classified information under this section, the Attorney General shall declassify that classified information to the maximum extent possible.

(A) If the Attorney General makes a determination that covered information may not be declassified and made available in a manner that protects the national security of the United States, including methods or sources related to national security, the Attorney General shall release an unclassified summary for each of the redacted or withheld classified information.

(4) All decisions to classify any covered information after July 1, 2025 shall be published in the Federal Register and submitted to Congress, including the date of classification, the identity of the classifying authority, and an unclassified summary of the justification.

SEC. 3. REPORT TO CONGRESS.
Within 15 days of completion of the release required under Section 2, the Attorney General shall submit to the House and Senate Committees on the Judiciary a report listing: (1) All categories of records released and withheld.

(2) A summary of redactions made, including legal basis.

(3) A list of all government officials and politically exposed persons named or referenced in the released materials, with no redactions permitted under subsection (b)(1).

Approved by congress and signed by the President of the United States November 19, 2025.

The Files, Co-Conspirators, and the Client List

On February 21st 2026, when asked if the DOJ releasing the Epstein files, she said “It’s sitting on my desk right now to review.” A few days later in February 2025, the DOJ posted a letter from the attorney general on their website. In it, DOJ Director Pam Bondi writes FBI Director Kash Patel saying she received only 200 pages of documents, including flight logs and “Epstein's list of contacts”.¹ Bondi writes to Kash: “I learned from a source that the FBI Field Office in New York was in possession of thousands of pages of documents related to the investigation and indictment Of Epstein. Despite my repeated requests, the FBI never disclosed the existence of these files.”

Within less than a year, the list of files went from a meager 200 pages, to *thousands of documents*. Later that year, the number of files increased even more as the DOJ released *tens of thousands* of documents on Dec 19th 2025. A month after that, the number increased even more documents, as the DOJ released over **three million** files on January 30th 2026². Other DOJ officials have declared that there were a total of six million files that could be released³, meaning millions more have yet to be released to the public. Pam Bondi stated “If you stack those up, that's the height of the Eiffel Tower.”⁴

So we have multiple documented instances of the FBI, who is under the DOJ, lying or misleading the director of the DOJ. This is a clear violation of the DOJ’s Criminal Resource Manual, (CRM 903.—18 U.S.C. § 1001) which makes it a felony for anyone—including DOJ officials— **to "knowingly and willfully" falsify, conceal, or make any "false, fictitious, or fraudulent" statement or document.**

At the very least, someone in the FBI/DOJ is lying. We the American people can cause congress to force Pam Bondi to hand over the exact e-mails/texts/written communications sent to her regarding the files, and then bring them to court to testify under oath as to the reasons why they failed, on multiple occasions, to tell the Director of the DOJ that there was 6 million files that stack as high as the Eiffel Town related to Epstein, rather than just “two hundred”, or “a thousand”, or “tens of thousands”. *We can then investigate them, their peers/employers, their recorded communications, to determine who is lying and for what reason.*

On July 8 2025 The US Department of Justice and FBI released a memo, Part of that memo released by the FBI ended with the statement about Epstein’s supposed suicide. The FBI reviewed the footage, and provided links to the footage, claiming that it was “full and raw”. Video forensics experts reviewed the file and discovered that the footage was not “raw” or “full”, as they found evidence of editing. Three whole minutes were cut from the footage,⁵ and the file the American public received was combined with at least two different video files:

1 **United States Department of Justice.** “Letter from Attorney General Pam Bondi to FBI Director Christopher A. Patel, February 27, 2025.” **Justice.gov**, 27 Feb. 2025, www.justice.gov/ag/media/1391331/dl. Accessed 17 July 2025.

2 “When will the Epstein files be released?” *Encyclopaedia Britannica*. Accessed March 18, 2026. <https://www.britannica.com/question/When-will-the-Epstein-files-be-released>

3 Donegan, Moira. “Jeffrey Epstein Files: Don’t Be Fooled — Millions of Files Are Still Unreleased.” *The Guardian*, January 31, 2026. <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2026/jan/31/jeffrey-epstein-unreleased-files>

4 Bondi, Pamela. “Bondi, Top DOJ Officials Brief Congress on Jeffrey Epstein Probe.” *Fox News*, February 15, 2026. <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/bondi-top-doj-officials-brief-congress-jeffrey-epstein-probe>

5 Williams, Austin. “Jeffrey Epstein Prison Video Had Nearly 3 Minutes Cut from It: Report.” *LiveNOW from FOX*, July 16, 2025. <https://www.livenowfox.com/news/epstein-prison-video-edit-discrepancy>

“In this case, the metadata indicates the file was saved at least four times over a period of several hours on May 23, 2025, by a Windows user account called ‘MJCOLE~1’ The metadata does not show whether the footage was modified before each time it was saved.

The embedded data suggest the video is not a continuous, unaltered export from a surveillance system, but a composite assembled from at least two separate MP4 files. The metadata includes references to Premiere project files and two specific source clips—2025-05-22 21-12-48.mp4 and 2025-05-22 16-35-21.mp4...

[Analyst Hany Farid, a professor at UC Berkeley] says the metadata raises immediate concerns about chain of custody—the documented handling of digital evidence from collection to presentation in a courtroom. Just like physical evidence, he explains, digital evidence must be handled in a way that preserves its integrity; metadata, while not always precise, can provide important clues about whether that integrity has been compromised.

“If a lawyer brought me this file and asked if it was suitable for court, I’d say no. Go back to the source. Do it right,” Farid says. “Do a direct export from the original system—no monkey business.”

Farid points to another anomaly: The video’s aspect ratio shifts noticeably at several points. “Why am I suddenly seeing a different aspect ratio?” he asks. ⁶

This too is a clear violation CRM 903.—18 U.S.C. § 1001 which makes it a felony **to “knowingly and willfully” falsify, conceal, or make any “false, fictitious, or fraudulent” statement or document.**

This is also a violation of the EFTA which Sect 2 (b) (8) which states that the FBI must release **“All communications, memoranda, directives, logs, or metadata concerning the destruction, deletion, alteration, misplacement, or concealment of documents, recordings, or electronic data related to Epstein, his associates, his detention and death, or any investigative files.”** Congress and the Senate are to press the DOJ so that we can find out who is ‘MJCOLE~1’; they are also to hold the DOJ accountable, being obligated *by law* to give the American *all the communications* between that user and their leadership as to why they edited that footage, *and then give us the actual raw, unedited footage.*

The FBI memo also states that they “have concluded that sex offender Jeffrey Epstein did not have a so-called client list that could implicate high-profile associates”⁷⁸. This declaration contradicts witness testimony, statements given by other government officials, and the files released by the DOJ.

Mid September of 2025, Kash Patel repeated this statement, and lies under oath and testifies in a house committee hearing, saying that there was no evidence that Epstein trafficked victims to other people.⁹¹⁰

⁶ Mehrotra, Dhruv. “Metadata Shows the FBI’s ‘Raw’ Jeffrey Epstein Prison Video Was Likely Modified.” *Wired*, July 11, 2025. <https://www.wired.com/story/metadata-shows-the-doj-s-raw-jeffrey-epstein-prison-video-was-likely-modified>

⁷ United States Department of Justice. “FBI Memo, July 2025.” *U.S. Department of Justice*, Office of Public Affairs, 11 July 2025, justice.gov/opa/media/1407001/dl. Accessed 17 July 2025.

⁸ Debusmann Jr., Bernd. “U.S. Justice Department Finds No Jeffrey Epstein ‘Client List’.” *BBC News*, July 7, 2025. <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cm2m879neljo>

⁹ Patel, Kash. “Epstein Survivors Blast FBI, Kash Patel over Alleged Trafficking Involvement.” *People*, February 24, 2023. <https://people.com/epstein-survivors-blast-fbi-kash-patel-trafficking-11813198>

¹⁰ Reuters. “FBI Chief Patel Says ‘No Credible Information’ Others Involved in Epstein Crimes.” *Reuters*, September 16, 2025. <https://www.reuters.com/world/us/fbi-chief-patel-says-no-credible-information-others-involved-epstein-crimes-2025-09-16/>

According to the DOJ's website¹¹, Sexual Trafficking and forced labor is defined as:

- Sex trafficking is the **recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, obtaining, patronizing, or soliciting** of a person for the purpose of a **commercial sex act** in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age. (22 U.S.C. § 7102(11)(A)).
- Forced labor is the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services, through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery. (22 U.S.C. § 7102(11)(B)).

Videos in the Epstein files¹² contain interviews of some women, and many underage girls, who were **recruited** by either Epstein or associates connected to Epstein, **transported** to a location where they would be **paid** to perform **commercial sex acts half naked** while giving massages for other individuals (who were not Epstein). Many of the women claimed they were working to pay of **debts**, and that there were also girls who were **harbored** at the locations the massages occurred at. There are only 8 interviews in this set, but the investigator claims to have interviewed *over forty females* who were involved in performing acts at the same location.

This contradicts Kash Patels statement that there is no evidence that trafficked victims to other people. Many other documents produced by the DOJ have shown that Epstein sexually trafficked underage girls to other individuals.

Patel also stated in that hearing that the FBI has concluded that there is “no Epstein list” or “client list”. According to the victims testimony from the video files sourced above, *many of the men who they performed commercial sex acts for were regulars, meaning they were regular clients of Epstein*. The above statements about there being no client list contradict Bondi's letter to him only half a year earlier which stated:

“By 8:00 a.m. tomorrow, February 28; the FBI will deliver the full and complete Epstein files to my office~ including all records, documents, audio and video recordings, and materials related to Jeffrey Epstein **and his clients**.”

In the FBI memo released in July 2025, it states that “Consistent with prior disclosures, **this review confirmed that Epstein harmed over one thousand victims.**” We are expected to believe that Epstein and Maxwell recruited and raped all these victims **by themselves, with no help from anyone else**. That despite all the evidence the DOJ and FBI gathered ranging **over three decades** – all of those witness testimonies, e-mails and other documents in the files of individuals recording their efforts to help recruit victims for Epstein, or admitting to committing sexual acts with those victims, along with photos, and video footage found on Epstein's numerous different properties - that the FBI “did not uncover evidence that could predicate an investigation against uncharged third parties.”

11 United States Department of Justice. “Human Trafficking.” Accessed March 26, 2026.

<https://www.justice.gov/humantrafficking>.

12 Specifically: DOJ-OGR-00033285.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033286.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033287.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033288.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033289.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033290.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033291.MP4, DOJ-OGR-00033292.MP4

Except we, the American people, are not actually expected to believe any of that, because the DOJ & the FBI are lying. We know they are lying, and they know that we know that they are lying. We have caught them lying to us, and we are going to use all our means to prosecute them to the fullest extent of the law. Every step forward from now on, we have to repeatedly ask Kash, Pam, Trump, Comey, etc., and their subordinates: “Who are you covering for?” or “why are you covering for pedophiles?” If they tell us “no one” or “were not covering for any pedophiles”, we have just recorded them lying to us. Then when we find out who they are covering for, we will prosecute them for those false statements they made to the American public.

Former Trump Lawyer Alan Dershowitz said in March on the Sean Spicer Show: “Let me tell you I know for a fact documents are being suppressed. And they’re being suppressed to protect individuals. I know the names of the individuals. I know why they’re being suppressed. I know who’s suppressing them. But I’m bound by confidentiality from a judge and cases, and I can’t disclose what I know.”¹³

Half a year later, in February 2026, the DOJ releases footage of an FBI sting operation from 2009 where Epstein’s former house manager, Alfredo Rodriguez, **tried to sell one of Epstein’s black books to an undercover agent** posing as one of the victims lawyers. **Rodriguez said the book contained a lists of client names of “powerful people” as well as Epstein’s victims.**¹⁴ Rodriguez claimed that Maxwell traveled to Europe to find girls, that he traveled along side her with his own wife- and also that Maxwell kept a computer database of girls, containing nude media of naked underage girls, which he saw once.

With all the above releases by the DOJ/FBI, we can find Kash Patel (or who ever is informing Kash Patel) guilty of violating the DOJ’s Criminal Resource Manual, (CRM 903.—18 U.S.C. § 1001) which makes it a felony for anyone—including DOJ officials—to “knowingly and willfully” falsify, conceal, or make any “false, fictitious, or fraudulent” statement or document.

U.S. Attorney Maurene Comey wrote in an E-Mail to FBI officials, saying that no videos or photos showed Epstein victims being sexually abused, none showed any males with any of the nude females, and none contained evidence implicating anyone other than Epstein and Maxwell “Had they existed, the government “would have pursued any leads they generated,” Comey wrote. “We did not, however, locate any such videos.”¹⁵

In the video file DOJ-OGR-00033289.MP4, at 15:34 the interviewer asks the victim if she was aware of the recording camera’s in the house, to which she replies yes. We should also call into question Comey’s ability to “follow any leads” that their investigations generated. Because Les Wexner was listed as a possible co-conspirator the 2019 Epstein sex trafficking investigation¹⁶; but in a House

13 Tovey, Alan. “Dershowitz Responds to Claims He Was on Epstein’s Client List with Trump.” *The Independent*, September 10, 2025. <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/dershowitz-epstein-client-list-trump-b2786911.html>

14 CNN. “Epstein Butler Reveals ‘Little Black Book’ Details in New Video.” *CNN*, February 12, 2026. <https://www.cnn.com/2026/02/12/us/video/epstein-butler-little-black-book-digvid>.

15 Sisak, Michael R. “FBI Concluded Jeffrey Epstein Wasn’t Running a Sex Trafficking Ring for Powerful Men, Files Show.” *U.S. News & World Report*, February 8, 2026. <https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/new-york/articles/2026-02-08/fbi-concluded-jeffrey-epstein-wasnt-running-a-sex-trafficking-ring-for-powerful-men-files-show>.

16 Shillcock, George. “Epstein Files Name Les Wexner as ‘Co-Conspirator’ in 2019 Sex Trafficking Investigation.” *WOSU*, February 10, 2026. <https://www.wosu.org/politics-government/2026-02-10/epstein-files-name-les-wexner-as-co-conspirator-in-2019-sex-trafficking-investigation>

Oversight Committee deposition in Ohio, Wexner testified under oath that **he had never been contacted by the FBI or DOJ regarding any Epstein activities or investigations.**¹⁷

The above is a violation of the EFTA Sect 2 (b) (7), which states that the DOJ is to release “Internal DOJ communications, including emails, memos, meeting notes, **concerning decisions to charge, not charge, investigate, or decline to investigate Epstein or his associates.**”

In December, late 2025, Ghislaine Maxwell claimed that 29 friends of Jeffrey Epstein entered into secret plea deals with the Department of Justice, who shielded them from prosecution after arranging “secret settlements”¹⁸¹⁹. This is in violation of Sect 2 (b)(4), (6), & (7) of the Epstein Files Transparency Act which states that the DOJ is to release the names of

*“Individuals, including government officials, named or referenced in connection with Epstein’s criminal activities, civil settlements, immunity or plea agreements, or investigatory proceedings... **Any immunity deals, non-prosecution agreements, plea bargains, or sealed settlements involving Epstein or his associates...** Internal DOJ communications, including emails, memos, meeting notes, concerning decisions to charge, not charge, investigate, or decline to investigate Epstein or his associates.”*

This news should prompt us to press congress to investigate the DOJ for public corruption, which may possibly involve bribery or fraud:

18 U.S.C. § 201 – Criminalizes federal officials (including DOJ attorneys, agents, and managers) who demand, receive, or are bribed with “anything of value” in exchange for being influenced in the performance of their official duties.²⁰

Article Two, Section Four of the United States Constitution also provides that "The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on *Impeachment* for, and Conviction of, Treason, *Bribery*, or other High crimes and Misdemeanors."

Some co-conspirators, criminals, and perhaps government officials may also be guilty of the Travel Act of 1952, in relation to the Epstein Files, which prohibits the use of interstate or foreign travel (Epstein’s Florida house, New York apartments/town homes, the Caribbean Little Saint James Island, etc.) for the purpose of distributing the proceeds of an unlawful activity, committing a crime of violence in furtherance of an unlawful activity, or to "promote, manage, establish, carry on" an unlawful activity; including **controlled substances offenses, prostitution, extortion or bribery.**

17 Reed, Nathaniel. “Wexner Tells Congress He Was Never Contacted by FBI About Jeffrey Epstein Ties.” *KrisTV*, February 20, 2026. <https://www.kristv.com/us-news/crime/epstein-files/wexner-tells-congress-he-was-never-contacted-by-fbi-about-jeffrey-epstein-ties>

18 “The Epstein Associates ‘Shielded’ by US Government, Maxwell Claims.” *The Telegraph*, January 29, 2026. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/us/news/2026/01/29/epstein-associates-shielded-us-government-maxwell/>.

19 “Epstein’s Madam Ghislaine Maxwell Claims 29 Pals Made ‘Secret Settlements’.” *AOL*, January 28, 2026. <https://www.aol.com/articles/epsteins-madam-ghislaine-maxwell-claims-204121204.html>.

20 United States, *U.S. Code*, Title 18, § 201, “Bribery of Public Officials and Witnesses.” Accessed March 26, 2026. <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/201>.

Congress's Reaction to the DOJ's Handling of the Epstein Files

Congressional Representative Jamie Raskin told reporters “I went over there [to the DOJ], and I was able to determine, at least I believe, that there were tons of completely unnecessary redactions, in addition to the failure to redact the names of victims, and so that was troubling to us.”²¹ Raskin on 2/9/2026 stated through Zeteo media “it is a violation of the separations of powers for the DOJ to be monitoring” congress searches on the Epstein files- calling it ‘Orwellian’. He accused the justice department of being “in a cover-up mode” and breaking the law. All of those unnecessary redactions violate Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

“They violated that precept by releasing the names of a lot of victims, which is either spectacular incompetence and sloppiness on their part, or, as a lot of the survivors believe, a deliberate threat to other survivors who are thinking about coming forward, that they need to be careful because they can be exposed and have their personal information dragged through the mud as well,” Raskin said.

On 02/11/2026, Rep Suhas Subramanyam complained that, while at the DOJ for 3 days, often times the search function did not work; they DOJ renegged their promise to unredact many names as “half the stuff I was looking for was still redacted.”²²

Rep. Pramila Jayapal (D-Wash.) wrote on social platform X: “It is totally inappropriate and against the separations of powers for the DOJ to surveil us as we search the Epstein files. Bondi showed up today with a burn book that held a printed search history of exactly what emails I searched. That is outrageous and I intend to pursue this and stop this spying on members.”

The above violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

On 02/10/2026, Thomas Massie reported that one of Kash Patel’s staff from the DOJ threatened his own staff members with criminal investigation of fraud if they didn’t “play ball” and let go of the Epstein files. This violates 18 U.S.Code § 115, which criminalizes threats or assaults against federal officials (including Congress members) with intent to impede, intimidate, or interfere with their duties, or as retaliation.²³

On 02/13/2026, CNN releases footage of victims found in the files that the DOJ released on 01/30/2026, some of nude underage girls, that were not redacted.²⁴ This violates Sect 2 (c)(1)(A), Sect 2 (c)(1)(B), Sect 2 (c)(1)(D) of the Epstein Files Transparency Act. CNN redacted these images during their news publication, and contacted the DOJ. Which took the images and videos down for review.

21 Stein, Chris. “Jamie Raskin Accuses DoJ of Cover-Up After Viewing Unredacted Epstein Files.” *The Guardian*, February 9, 2026. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2026/feb/09/jamie-raskin-doj-cover-up-epstein-files>.

22 Beitsch, Rebecca. “Lawmakers Express Bipartisan Outrage as DOJ Accused of ‘Spying’ on Members’ Epstein Searches.” *Cenlanow.com*, February 12, 2026. <https://www.cenlanow.com/news/political-news/hill-politics/lawmakers-express-bipartisan-outrage-as-doj-accused-of-spying-on-members-epstein-searches/>

23 United States, *U.S. Code*, Title 18, § 115, “Influencing, Impeding, or Retaliating Against a Federal Official by Threats or Force.” Accessed March 26, 2026. <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/115>

24 CNN. “Epstein Files: Unredacted Videos of Women Released.” *CNN*, February 13, 2026. <https://www.cnn.com/2026/02/13/politics/video/epstein-files-unredacted-women-videos-vrtc>.

Illegal Redactions & Other Crimes

Several Congressional Representatives have expressed distaste at the DOJ's handling of the Epstein Files. One Congressman stated that, if all of congress were to work round the clock with no breaks, it would take them over half a year to comb through all the redacted files. We the American people do not need all the files to become unredacted; We only need certain files disclosed so that we can turn the files to trials.

This section is a collection of all files we, the American people, have gathered so far regarding illegal redactions (names of co-conspirators, criminals, sex offenders, etc.); as well as other crimes within the files that mention sex trafficking, public corruption, white collar crime, civil rights violations, etc.; the removal of records, and failures of the DOJ to investigate, and prosecute the offending criminals.

2009

EFTA00774231

04/24/2009

Crime

Jeffrey Epstein messaging a redacted name/email, "Where are you?... I loved the torture video." This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

Representative Maxwell Frost (D-FL) revealed on the floor of congress (3/5/2026) that one redacted name was the Sultan Ahmed bin Sulayem²⁵; Sulayem was named a co-conspirator in the DOJ's investigation but to our knowledge has not been investigated or charged.

When searching for "I loved the torture video" on the DOJ's website, several copies of the email show up, including one file where Sultan Ahmed Bin Sulayem's name is unredacted (EFTA02344820)- although there is no message in that specific EFTA file.

2010

01/03/2010

²⁵ Maxwell Frost Shows Redacted Epstein Files on House Floor, YouTube video, February ? 2026,
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F5DBxnbP4io>

EFTA02432422

Crime

Redacted co-conspirator:

Someone who “just got his visa” telling Epstein they are going to the 2B Riga Agency because they have “little girls” with “good bodies” at this agency.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

This file above is in contradiction Patel’s September 2025 hearing that Epstein had no associates help him traffic underage girls, and violating the CRM 903.—18 U.S.C. § 1001, which makes it a felony for anyone to "knowingly and willfully" falsify, conceal, or make any "false, fictitious, or fraudulent" statement or document.

11/06/2010

EFTA02416819

Crime: Hacking

Al Seckel admits to “hacking wiki again”, removing his mugshot, and sex offender status, replacing it with “philanthropist”; also recording the IP addresses of the individuals who made those edits and blocking them from wiki.

2011

07/19/2011

EFTA00878421

Crime: redacting name of client/co-conspirator

Redacted name of client/co-s mocking a woman who believes in Jesus, “she felt gods presence next to her when she was in bed” and Epstein replies “you should dress up as him when you see her”.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2012

5/14/2012

EFTA01988394

Crime: Sex trafficking, DOJ redacting the name of a co-conspirator.

Jeffrey is upset with one of his clients, the victim the redacted sent to Epstein did not perform fellatio on him. Epstein says “just disappointed . More of same . No giving , little effort... nothing either new or sexy.” His contact says that if he wanted a woman to perform fellatio, he should have been more specific, and he asks whether or not Jeffrey though the other young girls he brought were not pretty enough for him.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

12/12/2012
EFTA00671562

Crime: Email Redacted of co-conspirator

Offender named “Izmo” inquiring to Epstein as to the name of “the Gynecologist that you used to send your victims to?” Further said that Epstein used them so much that the doctor commented that Epstein was “keeping him in business singlehandedly.”

Dr. Landon, gynocologist, head of the OBGYN of Ohio state university, is recorded to have received 25k quarterly payment from Epstein, as well as multiple fedex receipts from Epstein to Landon.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2013

6/17/2013
EFTA01967534

Crime: sex trafficking, failure to investigate and prosecute

Former French diplomat Olivier Colom talking to Jeffrey Epstein. The two refer to Russian girls as “white sharks”, Jeffrey Epstein referring to his island in the Caribbean with an “aquarium full of girls”.

2014

03/11/2014
EFTA02581338

Crime: redacting client/co-conspirator

The phrase “thank you for a fun night” appears only twice in the entire Epstein email disclosures: Once from Boris Nikolic (unredacted, 2013; EFTA01972631). Another time from from an unidentified sender (redacted, March 11, 2014). the Redacted emails Jeffrey Epstein about having sex with minors. Message reads “Thank you for a fun night... your littlest girl was a little naughty.”

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2015

03/12/2015
EFTA00839545

Crime: redacted name

Redacted name and email commenting on the Hadid sisters becoming famous via sex trafficking.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2016

****[find EFTA, was this taken down?]

04/06/2016

Crime: potential child trafficking

Redacted woman sends a photo of her baby to Epstein, then thanks Epstein for sending a lot of money to her back account.

09/22/2016

EFTA01743615

Crime: Sex trafficking, DOJ redacting co-conspirator.

Message reads:

“Meet me at class 9:30 so Many hot girls promise Hari NYC 30 between 6 and 7 Then we go to matcha ok ? Promise an abundant of young pussy flesh Love A”

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

10/14/2016

EFTA02329507

Crime: redacted names

Potential sex trafficking. Sender and recipients names and emails redacted. The sender is talking about getting 6 of Jeffrey’s girls apartments cleaned on a weekly basis.

This redaction violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2019

02/21/2019

EFTA00269036

Crime: Govt corruption and Sex Trafficking

“in the case of Two Jane Does v. United States, Senior Judge of the U.S. District Courtfor the Southern District of Florida Kenneth Marra said federal prosecutors violated the law by failing to notify victims before they allowed him to plead guilty to only the two Florida offenses.”

Later in the document, “Twins- knowledge of targeted victims family Shaun – Shaun Steele – 2007-2009 conspired targeting – motorcycle gang connected to Michael Fetherston Haugh...”

“1993, targeted victim... government and police targeting of an individual involving the American Government sources – intellectual property theft in privacy of targeted victims own home... 2014 targeted victims centrelink account... government involvement in organized crime. ” One note in the document (pg 19) cites “conspired falsified diagnosis” of a patient with schizophrenia, resulting in “illegal detainment”.

08/05/2019

EFTA01249857

Crime: Sex Trafficking, potential DOJ redaction of Co-conspirators

DOJ finds credible witness who was trafficked at a 5-6 year old girl, and again at 17. She can accurately recall Jeffrey’s rooms in Fl and the Virgin Islands. Potential redactions of co-conspirators in file.

This redaction potentially violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

08/9/2019

EFTA00013180

Contradiction/Crime

Manhattan U.S. Attorney Geoffrey S. Berman declares Jeffrey Epstein dead with officially published document. The document is dated one day before Epstein is actually found dead, suggesting the U.S. Attorney Geoffrey S. Berman had prior knowledge of the events.

08/10/2019

EFTA00165013

Crime/Contradiction: Sex trafficking, suicide, Government Official involved in sex trafficking

Person named “KenT” says “Just heard about Epstein. Based upon what we have discovered here and who was involved here in Mexico we don't beleive it was suicide. When you have 5 minutes you need to listen to what we have as evidence.”

Earlier in the email, on 07/27/2019 KenT says “We've had another serious incident since an american shot the trial judge in our child sex trafficking case here”. He mentions multiple people on his team have been attacked, wounded, maimed, or killed in relation to handling their child sex trafficking case:

“The President of Mexico is keenly aware of our case against Marcinko and other US Officials. This case we have here is most certainly connected to yours, we have the proof. Our case will help

bring a whole new dynamic to what you know and who is involved, Prince Phillip is small fry compared to what we have uncovered.

“You may want to question the Ex US Ambassador to Mexico; Mr. Earl Anthony Wayne about his involvement with an underage girl when he attended and was arrested by the Federal Police...He was sentenced in Mexico in 2017 to a life sentence for impregnating an 11 year old girl, her son's dna matches Waynes 100%, but he is allowing an ex-US Marine to stand in his place to serve his sentence in Mexico. That was an agreement worked out between our US State Dept and a judge in Mexico after a huge payoff. Mr. Anthony has an outstanding arrest warrant here in Mexico for avoiding sentencing.”

2020

06/11/2020
EFTA00023753
Crime

Redacted AUSA from the East District New York discussing with another redacted name over an investigation of the “murder of Jeffrey Epstein”- referring to the incident as a murder and not a suicide. We want clarification on this file.

This redaction potentially violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

7/13/2020
EFTA01245103
case ID: 50D-ny-3027571
Crime

Documentation of video footage of a young white female, aged 11-13, tied to the ground and being burnt with a man who appears to be Jeffrey Epstein burning her with a magnifying glass.

The video was uploaded to facebook, and Martin, the father, stated that Facebook would not take the video down- violating both federal law and face rules and regulations on sexual/violent material.

07/13/2020
Crime: Prince Andrew

Victim, six to eight years old during the incidents, mentions being driven to pedophile ring parties by their father, and getting raped by Prince Andrew, while also being tortured with household tools by Andrew, Maxwell, and other men, while surrounded by “watching men”. Victim has medical records for evidence of injuries. Maxwell threatened the victim with death after beating her.

EFTA00038036
Drugs and sex trafficking:

“In 1992, CN states when she was 17 y/o she met an unknown female in Central Park who offered her a dog walking position. C/v states the female brought her back to a residence at Central Park West to meet her boss (Jeffrey Epstein) to be interviewed. C/v states while there she was offered something to

drink. Afterwards, c/v states she felt dizzy and shaky. CN states she remembers feeling tired and leaning back. C/v states she remembers waking up in a room with someone heavy on her chest. C/v states she fell asleep again and remembers being brought to the bathroom to wash up. C/v states there was bleeding in her vaginal area. C/v states 2 women helped her get dressed and being sent away in a cab...”

Another witness testimony, someone saying “I know someone spiked the punch at one point...There was a damaged cervix... My Mother & her family were asked to leave the bar that night. I didn't want them around. it was bad enough with coworkers, and women i went to school with. My mother doesn't think men can be raped.”

EFTA01249488

Crime Sex Trafficking, Public Corruption, White Collar Crime, Civil Rights

“My neighbors Daughter... brought over a 15 year old, mentioned how it still hurt. she wasn't looking for Chet. That is the only time / remember seeing her is when she was brought over those few times. I can't remember if that girl was there but I asked Jessica what her story was, & was told she was 15. I told her to tell her not to do what ever those people asked her to do. no money is worth it.”

10/19/2020

EFTA00090314

Crime: Human Trafficking, Govt knowledge of trafficking.

“CHS [Confidential Human Source] remembered Dershowitz tell Alex Ocasta (U.S. Attorney of Southern District of Florida at the time) that Epstein belonged to both U.S. and allied intelligence services.... According to Jed Shugerman and Whitney Webb, Leon Black (Black) owns the mercenary firm formerly known as Blackwater, now Constellis, which has been accused of being involved in several arms and human trafficking scandals. Black is also the CEO of Apollo. "@NYTimes" noted that Black "inexplicably stood by Jeffrey Epstein. recently giving him \$50M.”

12/29/2020

EFTA00165118

Crime: Potential co-conspirator

John of God mentioned. Redacted mentions Epstein offering money for selling new born babies on the black market use.

This redaction potentially violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2022

07/08/2022

EFTA02844213

Crime: redaction

Pg 1228: "I want to be clear about one thing: Without question, Jeffrey Epstein was a terrible pedophile... For me, and for so many others, you opened the door to hell, and then, Ghislaine, like a [redacted] in sheep's clothing, you used your femininity to betray us and you led us all through it."

The term that is redacted refers to Maxwell as "a wolf in sheep's clothing." but the term "wolf" Is redacted. In two other files, EFTA00143489 and EFTA00145606, both use the plural term "**Wolves in sheep's clothing**" rather than the singular "**a Wolf in sheep's clothing**," Given that the DOJ placed more emphasis on redacting the co-conspirators and criminals rather than victims upon the release of the files, this is a powerful indicator that the redacted is a male or female criminal who has Wolf in their name.

This redaction potentially violates Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency act.

2025

EFTA01649154

03/17/2025

Crime: Redaction of potential co-conspirators and criminals.

Discussion by the FBI on who to redact. "Photos depict: victims: unknown-unidentified females and males, former U.S. presidents, Secretary of State, and other celebrities."

This is an open discussion on unnecessary redaction in the FBI, but it was discussed before the Epstein Transparency act was passed- all the way in March of 2025. Only three months into the year. This means that Director Pam Bondi is either lying (or was lied too) when she said that all the redaction's took place between when the Epstein Transparency act was passed in late November, to the "final release" in late January the following year.

EFTA01648951

7/24/2025

Crime/DOJ

Potential suspects and co-conspirators who, to our knowledge, have not been investigated or charged:

Tony Blair: at Epstein orgies.

Trump: Forced oral sex on a victim, trading women with Epstein.

Weinstein: Victim claims Weinstein fondled her and masturbated in front of her, forces women to decloth in front of him and follows them into showers.

Prince Andrew: Victims claim they were forced by Maxwell to have sex with Andrew and that Epstein and Andrew had orgies.

Glen Dubin: victim claims she was forced to have sex with him via maxwell.

Jes Staley: Victim claims Staley had forced sex with her.

Leon Black: victim reports Epstein had them give Leon oral sex and was raped numerous times by Black. Black threatened to destroy her life and claimed he had connections to the police, Les Wexner (redacted states 'Epstein earned his money from having sex with Wexner')

Bill Clinton: Victim stated she met Clinton and Epstein through another victim while traveling to Africa.

Howard Lutnick: Ponzi scheme and money laundering

Alexander Guest: Paid escort

Jean Luc Brunel: seen by victim on Epstein's island.

William Barr: was present when a girl was raped.

Original also included unredacted victims names

Undated

EFTA00143433

Victim Testimony

Crime: Illegal Redaction

In the victims testimony: several redaction's of co-conspirators, sex traffickers, sex offenders.

"I met [redacted], one of Epstein's recruiters, in a [redacted] around two weeks after arriving in [redacted], she soon introduced me to Epstein... On the first plane to the island in late October [redacted] met [redacted]. She was Epstein's "girlfriend". I saw her often throughout my trafficking as she was often with Epstein. She also sexually assaulted me in early 2007.

On one of Epstein's plane rides to St Little James, I met [redacted]. We first flew to ether on Epstein's plane on the 10'h of December 2006 along with [redacted]. [redacted] was an employee and best friend of my recruiter. I saw [redacted] on many occasions in the USVI and New York..."

"[Redacted victim]:I first met [redacted] in St Little James USVI early in my sex trafficking in 2006. I saw [redacted] multiple times as she was Epstein's PA, including in New York..."

"Epstein and Maxwell maintained control by constantly alternating between sticks of abuse and false carrots as praise. When I was raped, I was made a cup of tea by Cathy and met with smiles. Epstein Maxwell and others made threats and offered bribes, and everyone around normalized this behavior, from my recruiter, her best friend [redacted], Epstein's "girlfriend" [redacted]..."

“Epstein kicked me out of his apartments when I refused to be raped and [redacted] suggested I move in with him. Whilst living with [redacted], I was regularly raped by Epstein. [Redacted] knew this but did not help me or protect me.

On multiple occasions, I told [redacted] that I would go to the authorities, and each time he stopped me. He convinced me that moving on was best. Instead, he used Epstein's methods and sent me to therapy...

Mum witnessed roughly three months of my abusive relationship with [redacted]. She has included her written statement of what she witnessed, including [redacted] threatening to slit our throats twice, his angry, aggressive disposition toward me, kicking my dog [redacted] twice and how he deviously orchestrated his exit strategy once his job was done...”

These are only a small handful of the **many** redactions in this EFTA, which are in violation of Sect. 2 (a) & Sect. 2 (b)(1) of the Epstein Transparency Act. They also contradicts statements given by DOJ officials that Epstein and Maxwell worked alone.
